

## REFERENCES

Davis, L. W. (1975) Captains Flat lead-zinc orebody. In Knight, C. L. (ed.). *Economic Geology of Australia and Papua New Guinea I. Metals*. Melbourne, Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, 691-700.

Malone, E. J., Olgers, F., Cucchi, F. G., Nicholas, T., and

McKay, W. J. (1975) Woodlawn copper-lead-zinc orebody. *Ibid.* 701-10.

[Revised manuscript received 2 June 1981]

© Copyright the Mineralogical Society

Dept. of Geology, University of Wollongong, New South Wales, Australia

F. IVOR ROBERTS

[Present address: School of Applied Geology, University of New South Wales, PO Box 1, Kensington, NSW 2033, Australia]

MINERALOGICAL MAGAZINE, MARCH 1982, VOL. 46, PP. 134-6

## Mineralization at Le Pulec, Jersey, Channel Islands; No. 1 Lode

THE No. 1 Lode is the westernmost and most extensive of three lead-zinc veins cutting Brioverian sediments at Le Pulec. It was described by Williams (1871) as being between 1.5 and 1.8 m in width and 183 m in length, with a trend of 340°, and the galena was reported to have a high silver content. Since the investigation of the No. 3 Lode (Ixer and Stanley, 1980) it has been possible to examine several specimens, collected from the recently re-discovered No. 1 Lode.

As with the No. 3 Lode, two main mineralization episodes can be distinguished. The earlier of these is a pyrite-marcasite-arsenopyrite episode associated with minor quantities of galena, sphalerite, and chalcopryrite, occurring in thin veins in the metasediments. Sphalerite, galena, tetrahedrite, pyrite, and bournonite occur in the later episode with minor chalcopryrite and native antimony associated with a quartz gangue. The primary opaque minerals have been extensively altered to secondary sulphides and carbonates.

The mineralogy of the No. 1 Lode is based on a study of hand specimens and polished sections, and is described in its suggested paragenetic sequence.

### *Detrital minerals within the metasediments*

The Brioverian sediments contain 60-75  $\mu\text{m}$  euhedral zoned zircons, some of which have small (< 1  $\mu\text{m}$ ) chalcopryrite inclusions and relict skeletal laths of iron titanium oxide minerals.

### *Mineralization within the Brioverian sediments*

*Anatase* is common within the sediments as 2-10  $\mu\text{m}$  anhedral grains associated with silicates or as 10  $\times$  2  $\mu\text{m}$  laths, parallel to the basal cleavage of the altered phyllosilicates, particularly muscovite. *Anatase* is also found with hematite laths (20  $\times$  2  $\mu\text{m}$ ) included in early pyrite grains. A coarse-grained (600  $\times$  20  $\mu\text{m}$ ) generation of anatase or rutile is included in and replaced by a coarse-grained pyrite.

Minor quantities of *pyrite* are present along basal cleavages of the phyllosilicates or form small (20-70  $\mu\text{m}$ ) subhedral to euhedral grains in the thin quartz veins which cut the sediments. However, most of the pyrite occurs as large fractured grains, subsequently recemented by quartz, or by minor amounts of galena. This pyrite encloses hematite laths, anatase, rutile, minute inclusions (< 2  $\mu\text{m}$ ) of pyrrhotine and marcasite (2-5  $\mu\text{m}$ ), galena (< 5-30  $\mu\text{m}$ ), and sphalerite (< 10-20  $\mu\text{m}$ ). It is commonly surrounded by a spongy pyrite rim (< 60  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and by arsenopyrite. Pyrite also occurs intergrown with *marcasite*, forming shattered tabular aggregates that are cemented and replaced by galena. The *marcasite* displays twinning and anisotropy boundaries perpendicular to the length of the tabular aggregate.

*Arsenopyrite* is present mostly as rhombs (20-80  $\mu\text{m}$ ) or lath-shaped grains (< 400  $\times$  20  $\mu\text{m}$ ) that surround the coarse-grained pyrite. The rhombs are fractured and cemented by galena. Arseno-

pyrite is extensively oxidized to limonite and other secondary minerals. *Galena* in minor quantities cements anatase and rutile, and cements and replaces arsenopyrite, pyrite, and marcasite.

#### Main mineralization of Lode No. 1

*Sphalerite* is the major sulphide within the lode and also the earliest; it has a consistently low iron content, less than 4.2 mole % FeS (Table I). Inclusions of rod-shaped tetrahedrite, which show a crystallographic orientation with respect to the sphalerite, are common; however, inclusions of chalcopyrite are rarely found. Sphalerite is cut by very thin (< 1  $\mu\text{m}$ ) veinlets of chalcopyrite, galena, and tetrahedrite, and by discontinuous thin pyrite veins. It is also found as 20–120  $\mu\text{m}$  rounded grains intergrown with galena, tetrahedrite, and bournonite. Inclusions of sphalerite in bournonite are surrounded by a 10–20  $\mu\text{m}$  wide chalcopyrite rim. Sphalerite alters to covellite along its cleavages, but is more commonly replaced by tabular smithsonite enclosing relict sphalerite and covellite flecks.

Isolated euhedral crystals of *pyrite* (< 60  $\mu\text{m}$ ) occur within sphalerite, these are commonly zoned and this is exhibited by fine gangue inclusion trails. Pyrite is also seen as discontinuous veinlets 2–20  $\mu\text{m}$  wide and up to 300  $\mu\text{m}$  long that cut across sphalerite or are preferentially aligned along its cleavages. Pyrite is also found in galena, as subhedral grains or as framboids, particularly near to sphalerite grain boundaries. Galena, and to a lesser extent sphalerite and tetrahedrite, replace pyrite giving a sieve-like texture.

*Tetrahedrite* is common as oriented rods up to  $20 \times 2 \mu\text{m}$  within sphalerite, as thin veinlets along the cleavage and fractures of sphalerite, or as wider (< 20  $\mu\text{m}$ ) veins associated with galena and chalcopyrite. It occurs as large subhedral grains (< 500  $\mu\text{m}$ ) around the main sphalerite and may form complex intergrowths with chalcopyrite and bournonite (< 100  $\mu\text{m}$ ). It commonly has small (2–10  $\mu\text{m}$ ) chalcopyrite, sphalerite, galena, bournonite, and pyrite inclusions. In all these associations there is little difference in tetrahedrite's silver content, which shows a narrow range from 6.7–8.4 wt. % Ag. Tetrahedrite has been extensively replaced by galena, and is also found as 2–10  $\mu\text{m}$  inclusions in chalcopyrite. It alters to bournonite and covellite.

Minor amounts of *native antimony* (confirmed by semi-quantitative electron probe microanalysis) occur as thin 1–2  $\mu\text{m}$  veinlets in tetrahedrite and within chalcopyrite, galena, and bournonite. Isolated grains (< 5  $\mu\text{m}$ ) occur together with anhedral grains of sphalerite and tetrahedrite in quartz.

*Chalcopyrite* occurs as rounded inclusions (1–2  $\mu\text{m}$ ) in sphalerite or as veinlets, sometimes associated with galena and tetrahedrite, which cut the main sphalerite. Chalcopyrite (< 200  $\mu\text{m}$ ) is also found around the main sphalerite where it encloses galena (< 10  $\mu\text{m}$ ), tetrahedrite (< 40  $\mu\text{m}$ ), and sphalerite (< 10  $\mu\text{m}$ ), and minor amounts of pyrite, marcasite, bournonite, and native antimony. It is commonly altered at grain boundaries to bornite and covellite.

*Bournonite* is closely associated with galena. Commonly, it occurs as rounded inclusions (20–75

TABLE I. Electron microprobe data—Le Pulec No. 1 Lode

Mineral species	Cu	Ag	Fe	Zn	As	Sb	S	Total	
Tetrahedrite	32.0	7.4	4.1	3.2	0.3	29.3	23.3	99.6	along fracture in sphalerite
	31.9	6.7	3.8	4.2	0.1	29.0	23.6	99.3	adjacent to bournonite
	32.3	8.4	4.1	3.4	—	29.2	23.8	101.2	oriented rods in sphalerite
	31.6	7.7	3.6	3.1	0.5	28.8	23.2	98.5	rounded grains in galena
			Pb	Cu	As	Sb	S		
Bournonite			44.8	12.8	—	25.0	18.1	100.7	large bournonite
			45.3	12.4	—	24.9	18.1	100.7	inclusion in galena
			Zn	Fe	Cd	Cu	Mn	S	
Sphalerite		64.8	2.4	0.5	0.2	—	32.2	100.1	main sphalerite adjacent to tetrahedrite
		64.2	2.2	0.4	0.2	—	32.6	99.6	sphalerite inclusion in bournonite

Instrument: Cambridge Instruments Microscan Mk. 9. Accelerating voltage: 20 kV  
 Radiations: Cu-K $\alpha$ , Ag-L $\alpha$ , Fe-K $\alpha$ , Zn-K $\alpha$ , As-K $\alpha$ , Sb-L $\alpha$ , S-K $\alpha$ , Pb-La. Analyses in wt. %  
 Standards: Pure elements and PbS, FeS.

$\mu\text{m}$ ) and displays its characteristic parquet twinning. Bournonite encloses pyrite, sphalerite, native antimony, tetrahedrite, chalcopyrite, and galena. Small amounts are intergrown with sphalerite, chalcopyrite, and galena around the main sphalerite, or form thin rims around tetrahedrite in galena, or occur together with chalcopyrite as rims surrounding tetrahedrite in sphalerite. It alters to covelline, blaubleibender covelline, bornite, and limonite. Analyses are given in Table I.

Galena is the second most abundant sulphide after sphalerite, which it cements. Thin veinlets (1–2  $\mu\text{m}$ ) cut sphalerite, and it also occurs in veins with tetrahedrite and chalcopyrite which cut the main sphalerite. Galena encloses and replaces fractured pyrite, tetrahedrite, marcasite, arsenopyrite, and bournonite. It is altered to bournonite (2–5  $\mu\text{m}$  rims), covelline (< 50  $\times$  10  $\mu\text{m}$  aggregates), cerussite, and anglesite. A later generation of galena appears to be associated with the alteration of the primary sulphides. It occurs as 1–5  $\mu\text{m}$  grains, botryoidal in texture, associated with colloform pyrite and enclosed within cerussite and anglesite.

Colloform pyrite occurs as thin veinlets (100  $\times$  4  $\mu\text{m}$ ) consisting of 2–4  $\mu\text{m}$  grains associated with alteration minerals around the main sphalerite, and along its cleavage. Isolated grains occur up to 5–20  $\mu\text{m}$ , or it occurs as framboids (< 20  $\mu\text{m}$ ).

Bornite occurs as rims (< 30  $\mu\text{m}$ ) around altered bournonite, sphalerite, and tetrahedrite, and is itself altered to covelline. The latter occurs as patches of bladed crystals (< 50–60  $\mu\text{m}$ ) or forms fine-grained aggregates replacing galena and sphalerite at grain boundaries and along cleavages. Covelline pseudomorphs small (5–10  $\mu\text{m}$ ) grains of

chalcopyrite, sphalerite, and tetrahedrite, or forms alteration rims 10–20  $\mu\text{m}$  in width at their margins.

Smithsonite, cerussite, and anglesite replace galena and sphalerite and form crystals up to several millimetres across, where developed in cavities. Relict galena and sphalerite occur within these grains in addition to flecks of covelline.

It might be expected that closely related veins, such as the No. 1 and No. 3 Lodes at Le Pulec, would show broad chemical and mineralogical similarities. For the most part this is true, but there are also significant differences between the lodes which can be summarized as follows: (i) there is no dolomite or calcite gangue in the No. 1 Lode; (ii) grains of tetrahedrite and bournonite in the No. 1 Lode are considerably larger than those seen in the No. 3 Lode; (iii) no plagionite or stibnite has been detected in specimens from the No. 1 Lode, and native antimony is much less common than in the No. 3 Lode; (iv) no cubanite has been observed in the No. 1 Lode and there is less chalcopyrite than in the No. 3 Lode; (v) tetrahedrite from the No. 1 Lode has a lower silver content (6–8 wt. % Ag) than that from the No. 3 Lode (9–20 wt. % Ag), and a correspondingly higher copper content.

#### REFERENCES

- Ixer, R. A., and Stanley, C. J. (1980) *Mineral. Mag.* **43**, 1025–9.  
 Williams, B. (1871) *Report on the Jersey silver-lead mine*. Jersey, 4 pp.

[Manuscript received 24 June 1981]

© Copyright the Mineralogical Society

Department of Mineralogy, British Museum (Natural History)  
 London SW7 5BD

C. J. STANLEY

Department of Geological Sciences, University of Aston in Birmingham  
 Birmingham B4 7ET

R. A. IXER

MINERALOGICAL MAGAZINE, MARCH 1982, VOL. 46, PP. 136–7

## Lattice parameters and composition limits of mixed Mg–Al hydroxy structures—a discussion

We wish to thank Professor Brindley (pers. comm.) for drawing our attention to the differences in lattice parameters and the limiting values of composition between synthetic hydroxy carbonates of Mg, Al (Brindley and Kikkawa, 1979), and synthetic hydroxide structures of Mg, Al (Mascolo

and Marino, 1980). The lattice parameter values for different Mg, Al ratios in the two studies are different, and in particular  $\Delta a/\Delta x$  with  $x = \text{Al}/(\text{Al} + \text{Mg})$  is noticeably lower for plain hydroxides (fig. 1, Brindley, 1980).

Comparing the syntheses in the two studies, no