Re-examination of berlinite (AIPO₄) from the Cioclovina Cave, Romania

BOGDAN P. ONAC^{1,2,*} AND HERTA S. EFFENBERGER³

¹Department of Geology, University of South Florida, 4202 E. Fowler Avenue, SCA 528, Tampa, Florida 33620, U.S.A. ²Department of Mineralogy, Babeş-Bolyai University/Speleological Institute, Clinicilor 5, 400006 Cluj, Romania ³Institut für Mineralogie und Kristallographie, Universität Wien, Althanstrasse 14, A-1090 Wien, Austria

ABSTRACT

Berlinite is a mineral indicative of high-temperature formation and, therefore, it would not be expected to be present in a sedimentary environment. In this study, we review the characteristics of a berlinite-bearing deposit and present a single-crystal structure investigation based on X-ray data: the refinement converged at R1(F) = 0.0276, $wR2(F^2) = 0.0657$ for 677 reflections $(2\theta_{MoK\alpha} \le 70^\circ)$ and 31 variables in space-group $P3_121$ [a = 4.9458(10), c = 10.9526(20) Å, V = 232.0 Å³, Z = 3 {AIPO₄}]. The average < T-O> bond distances within the two crystallographically unique TO_4 tetrahedra are 1.734 and 1.526 Å, respectively. From the scattering power at these *T* sites and the stereochemistry, the presence of an AIO₄ tetrahedron linked to a PO₄ tetrahedron is established. Consequently, the sample from Cioclovina Cave is verified as AIPO₄ (modification berlinite), reconfirming the first description of this mineral from a sedimentary occurrence, which underwent an obvious natural heating process.

Keywords: Berlinite, Cioclovina Cave, Romania, cave minerals

INTRODUCTION

Berlinite, AlPO₄, is a rare mineral with a crystal structure analogous to that of α quartz. An ordered substitution by Al and P atoms for Si atoms doubles the *c* unit-cell parameter; AlO₄ and PO₄ tetrahedra alternate and share corners. AlPO₄ compounds are known to crystallize in several other modifications characterized by distinct temperature and pressure environments. Similarities of the AlPO₄ phases to the isoelectronic SiO₂ compounds are evident (Graetsch 2002, 2003, and references therein). These compounds are of interest for multiple reasons, e.g., berlinite shows piezoelectric properties due to the space-group symmetry *P*3₁21 or *P*3₂21. As shown by syntheses experiments and geological settings, temperature conditions and solvents control the stability fields of the AlPO₄ phases in general, and especially those of berlinite (Flörke 1967; Bass and Sclar 1979; Motchany and Chavanski 2001; Veksler et al. 2003).

Onac et al. (2002) described an unusual mineral assemblage of phosphate-bearing minerals from the Cioclovina Cave, Sureanu Mountains, Romania. The cave is well known for hosting enormous amounts of phosphate-rich sediments (3 to 20 m in thickness) that once almost entirely filled some 450 m of cave passages (Breban et al. 2003). The phosphate-rich sediments are believed to have formed from large deposits of bat guano and cave bear remains. Successive cave flooding events resulted in the accumulation of large quantities of clay and sand that were either inter-bedded with bat guano horizons or completely buried the organic sediment. In some parts of the cave, the weight of the overburden sediments was significant and the underlying material was heavily compacted so that the original textures and structures were changed. We assumed that, owing to microbial processes, the temperature inside the buried guano increased until spontaneous ignition led to its combustion in some restricted parts of the cave. Combustion of bat guano in caves has been reported from several locations (Oakley 1954; Martini 1994a, 1994b, 1997; Urbani 1996). Visually, the baking of the sediments in Cioclovina Cave resulted in a change of color to dark brown. The berlinite-bearing sediment occurs only in a dead-end, side passage of the cave and shows the entire above-mentioned thermal transformation features (Onac and White 2003).

Marincea and Dumitraş's (2005) comments on that paper provide us with a welcomed opportunity to further discuss the unusual formation of a high-temperature mineral within a cave environment. At that time, the available material for further investigations was limited and especially not suitable for a phase analysis by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. Therefore, we discontinued our work until recently when a second find of the same mineral provided appropriate material for detailed single-crystal investigations.

Marincea and Dumitraş (2005) doubted the correct identification of berlinite by Onac and White (2003) for the following reasons: (1) the original X-ray powder patterns were misinterpreted; (2) unsuitable microprobe analyses led to an incorrectly determined chemical composition; (3) the unlikelihood of this species in a sedimentary environment; and (4) repetition of

^{*} E-mail: bonac@cas.usf.edu

the successful synthesis of berlinite from the primary minerals failed. Below, we present a detailed single-crystal X-ray structure investigation and new chemical results to clearly demonstrate that the original description of berlinite from the Cioclovina Cave was correct.

X-RAY DIFFRACTION INVESTIGATIONS AND CRYSTAL-STRUCTURE REFINEMENT

Berlinite from the Cioclovina Cave forms colorless aggregates. When the translucent, well-crystallized aggregates were first collected in the "baked" sediment, we did not suspect that they would turn out to be an anhydrous phosphate. The availability of the mineral for electron microprobe analyses was limited to two small grains. The rest of the glass-like aggregates were ground for study by X-ray powder diffraction. Recently, additional well-crystallized aggregates up to 2 mm in diameter were detected within heavily compacted sediments from the "Bivouac Room" (cf. Fig. 1 in Onac and White 2003). Visually, the samples are not distinguishable from quartz, hence easily overlooked. A small chip of this material was used for singlecrystal X-ray investigation (see Table 1). Determination of the unit-cell metrics showed that the sample was not quartz, as the a parameter is somewhat larger than expected for low-quartz and c is doubled. The reflections (*hkl*) with l = 2n + 1 result mainly from the distinct scattering power of the P and Al atoms and violation of the pseudo-translation vector [0 0 0.5] between the two crystallographically distinct O atom sites caused by the different space requirements of the two cations. Consequently, these reflections are weaker when compared to those with l = 2nbut their intensities are significant; most of these superstructure reflections could be detected. The structural parameters given by Muraoka and Kihara (1997) were used to start the structure refinement. During the refinement, some large electron densities in the difference Fourier summation led to an unexpectedly high

 TABLE 1.
 Single-crystal X-ray data collection and structure refinement for berlinite†

a (Å)	4.9458(10)
<i>c</i> (Å)	10.9526(20)
V (Å ³)	232.0
Space group	P3121
Z	3{AIPO ₄ }
Crystal diameter (mm ³)	0.025 × 0.042 × 0.17
Absorption coefficient µ (mm ⁻¹)	0.99
Scan range $\Delta \phi$ (at 11 distinct ω values) (°)	2
Collection time (s/°)	140
2θ _{max} (°)	70
Number of images measured	561
Crystal-detector distance (mm)	30
Data collection temperature (K)	293
Measured/unique reflections (n)	3996/677
$R_{\rm int} = \Sigma [F_o^2 - F_o^2({\rm mean})] / \Sigma F_o^2$	0.0276
$R1(F)/wR2(F^2)^*$ (for the 677 unique reflections)	0.0276/0.0657
<i>R</i> 1(<i>F</i>) [for the 629 reflections with $F_o > 4\sigma(F_o)$]	0.0246
Variable parameters (p)	31
$GooF = \{\sum [w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2]/(n - p)\}^{0.5}$	1.065
Δ/σ	<0.001
$\Delta \rho_{\min} \Delta \rho_{\max} (e Å^{-3})$	-0.33, 0.37
Extinction coefficient	0.098(15)
Noto: $P1(E) = \Sigma(E - E)/\Sigma E \cdot \mu(P2(E^2)) = \Sigma\mu(E^2) $	E2)2/SuvE411/2

Notes: $R1(F) = \Sigma(||F_o| - |F_c||) / \Sigma F_o; wR2(F^2) = [\Sigma w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2 / \Sigma w F_o^4]^{1/2}.$

 $w = 1/\{\sigma^2(F_o^2) + [0.044^*[\max(0,F_o^2) + 2^*F_c^2/3]]^2\}.$

† Nonius Kappa-CCD four-circle diffractometer equipped with a 300 μm diameter capillary-optics collimator (graphite-monochromatized M0 $K\alpha$ radiation). Data were corrected for background, Lorentz and polarization effects, and absorption (multi-scan method). Programs used: COLLECT (Nonius 1999), DENZO-SMN (Otwinowski and Minor 1997), and SHELXL-97 (Sheldrick 1997).

R-value. Consideration of twinning by rotation around the [001] axis improved the results; the twin law corresponds with the Dauphiné law of quartz. The twin ratio is 0.9217(11):0.0783(11). After a couple of least-squares cycles, the refinement converged satisfactorily. The fractional atomic coordinates, displacement parameters, and the recalculated interatomic distances and bond angles for berlinite are given in Tables 2 and 3, respectively.

Marincea and Dumitras's (2005) comments on the X-ray powder diffraction section were based on the assumption that Onac and White (2003) had not found berlinite but misidentified either quartz or a mixture of other minerals. In fact, quartz and berlinite exhibit close structural similarities. Order between the larger AlO₄ tetrahedra and the smaller PO₄ tetrahedra causes $c_{\text{berlinite}} \sim 2c_{\text{auartz}}$. Hence a powder pattern taken from a berlinite sample can approximately be assigned to that of quartz, but additional weak reflections occur, some of which are observable in a pattern taken with a standard X-ray powder diffractometer. In addition, the cell volume of berlinite is larger than that of quartz, which causes a significant peak shift. In agreement with these expectations for berlinite, Onac and White (2003) observed two reflections caused by ordering of Al and P atoms; furthermore, the unit-cell volume of 230.1 Å³ is definitely larger than the doubled unit-cell volume of low-quartz (112.35 Å³, Tucker et al. 2001).

Marincea and Dumitras (2005) interpreted the pattern published by Onac and White (2003) as possibly being that of quartz. The two additional reflections with d-values of 3.619 and 1.666 Å and intensities of 1 and 2% of the strongest peak in the pattern, respectively, were assigned to illite 2M. Not taking into account that some X-ray powder patterns may sometimes be wrongly interpreted, it is unrealistic to assume that two (weak) reflections of a pattern fit exactly into a lattice refined from the 2θ values of 20 reflections (12 of them have a larger intensity but the remaining 8 reflections are of the same or weaker intensity). Illite also exhibits additional reflections in the range of the collected pattern. We have to emphasize that identification of a chemical compound or even a mineral based on only two reflections is more than suspect. Moreover, a misinterpretation of brushite, Ca(PO₃OH)·2H₂O, for churchite-(Y), YPO₄·2H₂O, and of hydroxyl-apatite, $Ca_5(PO_4)_3(OH)$, for chlorellestadite, Ca₅[(Si,P,S)O₄]₃(Cl,OH,F), was assumed by Marincea and Dumitras (2005); however, shifts opposite from those observed are required for these mineral pairs. Due to the fact that Si was used as an internal standard in the original powder patterns, an opposite peak shift for distinct diagrams and moreover for two phases within one pattern should be excluded.

CHEMICAL COMPOSITION

Marincea and Dumitraş (2005) doubted that the electron microprobe compositions in the original study corresponded to berlinite. Their arguments were based mainly on a misinterpretation of the chemical analysis due to an incorrect microprobe analyses by both independent investigations published by Onac and White (2003). They argue that an excitation voltage that was too high, low beam current and focused electron beam would have promoted loss of alkali elements and consequently, ammonium-bearing taranakite or tinsleyite were misidentified as berlinite. Furthermore, they criticized the choice of variscite as an internal standard due to a possible decomposition under

Wyckoff	site	Х	у	Ζ	U ₁₁	U ₂₂	U ₃₃	U ₂₃	U ₁₃	U ₁₂	$U_{\rm eq}$
letter	symmetry										
3(<i>a</i>)	2	0.46649(11) 0	1/3	0.01152(18)	0.00976(23)	0.01031(20)	-0.00043(15)	1/2 U ₂₃	1/2 U22	0.01073(13)
3(b)	2	0.46689(9)	0	5/6	0.01225(17)	0.00970(20)	0.01054(18)	-0.00031(13)	1/2 U ₂₃	1/2 U22	0.01111(13)
6(<i>c</i>)	1	0.4157(2)	0.2911(2)	0.39763(8)	0.0228(5)	0.0166(4)	0.0160(3)	-0.00438(3)	-0.0028(3)	0.0122(4)	0.01740(18)
6(<i>c</i>)	1	0.4150(3)	0.2575(2)	0.88400(8)	0.0225(5)	0.0161(4)	0.0172(4)	-0.0048(3)	-0.0041(3)	0.0125(4)	0.01733(19)
<i>Note:</i> The anisotropic displacement parameters are defined as: exp $[-2\pi^2 \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 U_{ij} a^*_i a^*_j h_i h_j]$, U_{eq} according to Fischer and Tillmanns (1987).											
	Vyckoff letter 3(a) 3(b) 6(c) 6(c) e anisot	Vyckoff site letter symmetry 3(a) 2 3(b) 2 6(c) 1 6(c) 1 e anisotropic displated	Vickoff site x letter symmetry 3(a) 2 0.46649(11) 3(b) 2 0.46689(9) 6(c) 1 0.4157(2) 6(c) 1 0.4150(3) e anisotropic displacement pa	Vickoff site x y letter symmetry 3(a) 2 0.46649(11) 0 3(b) 2 0.46689(9) 0 6(c) 1 0.4157(2) 0.2911(2) 6(c) 1 0.4150(3) 0.2575(2) e anisotropic displacement parameters and	Vyckoff site x y z Ietter symmetry 3(a) 2 0.46649(11) 0 1/3 3(b) 2 0.46689(9) 0 5/6 6(c) 1 0.4157(2) 0.2911(2) 0.39763(8) 6(c) 1 0.4150(3) 0.2575(2) 0.88400(8) e anisotropic displacement parameters are defined as	Vyckoff site x y z U_{11} letter symmetry 3(a) 2 0.46649(11) 0 1/3 0.01152(18) 3(b) 2 0.46689(9) 0 5/6 0.01225(17) 6(c) 1 0.4157(2) 0.2911(2) 0.39763(8) 0.0228(5) 6(c) 1 0.4150(3) 0.2575(2) 0.88400(8) 0.0225(5) e anisotropic displacement parameters are defined as: $\exp [-2\pi^2 \Sigma_{1=1}^3)$	Vyckoff site x y z U_{11} U_{22} Ietter symmetry 3(a) 2 0.46649(11) 0 1/3 0.01152(18) 0.00976(23) 3(b) 2 0.46689(9) 0 5/6 0.01225(17) 0.00970(20) 6(c) 1 0.4157(2) 0.2911(2) 0.39763(8) 0.0228(5) 0.0166(4) 6(c) 1 0.4150(3) 0.2575(2) 0.88400(8) 0.0225(5) 0.0161(4) e anisotropic displacement parameters are defined as: $\exp [-2\pi^2 \Sigma_{1=1}^3 \Sigma_{1=1}^3 U_{1j} a_{+1}^* a_{+1}^*]$	Vyckoff site x y z U_{11} U_{22} U_{33} letter symmetry 3(a) 2 0.46649(11) 0 1/3 0.01152(18) 0.00976(23) 0.01031(20) 3(b) 2 0.46689(9) 0 5/6 0.01225(17) 0.00970(20) 0.01054(18) 6(c) 1 0.4157(2) 0.2911(2) 0.39763(8) 0.0228(5) 0.0166(4) 0.0160(3) 6(c) 1 0.4150(3) 0.2575(2) 0.88400(8) 0.0225(5) 0.0161(4) 0.0172(4) e anisotropic displacement parameters are defined as: exp [$-2\pi^2 \Sigma_{1=1}^3 \Sigma_{1=1}^3 U_{10} a^*_1 a^*_1 h_1 h_1$], U_{eq} according the second	Vyckoff site x y z U_{11} U_{22} U_{33} U_{23} letter symmetry -0.46649(11) 0 1/3 0.01152(18) 0.00976(23) 0.01031(20) -0.00043(15) 3(b) 2 0.46689(9) 0 5/6 0.01225(17) 0.00970(20) 0.01054(18) -0.00043(15) 6(c) 1 0.4157(2) 0.2911(2) 0.39763(8) 0.0228(5) 0.0166(4) 0.0160(3) -0.00438(3) 6(c) 1 0.4150(3) 0.2575(2) 0.88400(8) 0.0225(5) 0.0161(4) 0.0172(4) -0.0048(3) e anisotropic displacement parameters are defined as: $\exp [-2\pi^2 \Sigma_{1=1}^* \Sigma_{1=1}^* \Sigma_{1=1}^* \Sigma_{1=1}^* \Lambda_{1}^* h_1 h_1 J_1$, U_{eq} according to Fischer	Vyckoff site x y z U_{11} U_{22} U_{33} U_{23} U_{13} letter symmetry 3(a) 2 0.46649(11) 0 1/3 0.01152(18) 0.00976(23) 0.01031(20) -0.00043(15) $1/2$ U_{23} 3(b) 2 0.46689(9) 0 5/6 0.01225(17) 0.00970(20) 0.01054(18) -0.00031(13) $1/2$ U_{23} 6(c) 1 0.4157(2) 0.2911(2) 0.39763(8) 0.0228(5) 0.0166(4) 0.0160(3) -0.0043(3) -0.0028(3) 6(c) 1 0.4150(3) 0.2575(2) 0.88400(8) 0.0228(5) 0.0161(4) 0.0172(4) -0.0048(3) -0.0041(3) e anisotropic displacement parameters are defined as: exp [$-2\pi^2 \Sigma_{1=1}^3 \Sigma_{1=1}^3 U_{1j} a^*_1 a^*_1 h_1 h_j$], U_{eq} according to Fischer and Tillmann	Vyckoff site x y Z U11 U22 U33 U23 U12 Vyckoff site x y z U11 U22 U33 U23 U13 U12 letter symmetry z 0.01152(18) 0.00976(23) 0.01031(20) -0.0043(15) ½ U23 ½ U22 3(a) 2 0.46689(9) 0 5/6 0.01225(17) 0.00970(20) 0.01054(18) -0.00031(13) ½ U23 ½ U222 6(c) 1 0.4157(2) 0.2911(2) 0.39763(8) 0.0228(5) 0.0166(4) 0.0160(3) -0.0043(3) -0.0028(3) 0.0122(4) 6(c) 1 0.4150(3) 0.2575(2) 0.88400(8) 0.0225(5) 0.0161(4) 0.0172(4) -0.0048(3) -0.0041(3) 0.0125(4) e anisotropic displacement parameters are defined as: exp [-2 $\pi^2 \Sigma_{i=1}^3 \Sigma_{i=1}^i U_{ij} a^*_{i} a^*_{j} h_i h_j$], U_{eq} according to Fischer and Tillmanns (1987).

TABLE 2. Fractional atomic coordinates and displacement parameters for berlinite

the electron beam.

The electron-microprobe analyses published by Onac and White (2003) gave totals of 99.96 and 99.68 wt%, respectively. The analytical formula reported was $Al_{0.993}P_{0.998}O_4$, consistent with expectations for berlinite. For this study, we performed an additional microprobe analysis using the new material, with the same analytical protocol, except for the standards. Natural garnet was used for Al, Fe, and Si, whereas fluorapatite replaced variscite for P. The mean of five analyses (total = 99.99 wt%) from a single berlinite grain yielded the chemical formula: $Al_{0.998}(P_{1.002}Si_{0.001})_{\Sigma=1.003}O_4$ (normalized on the basis of 4 O atoms per formula unit), thus confirming the presence of berlinite.

EXOTIC MINERAL SPECIES

Onac and White's (2003) note that, "This deposit contains a fascinating assemblage of minerals that includes, apart from many rare and/or unusual phosphates (e.g., berlinite, churchite, leucophosphite, foggite, ...) ..." was intended to highlight the unique mineralogy of the phosphate deposit in the Cioclovina Cave. However, Marincea and Dumitras's (2005) comment that "the occurrence of other exotic species such as chlorellestadite or churchite, is not well enough substantiated and their report must be regarded with caution" prompted us to carry out additional studies. Optical observations with a polarizing microscope, Xray powder patterns, electron-microprobe investigations, and Fourier-transform infrared analyses confirmed the presence of churchite-(Y) and foggite, CaAl(PO₄)(OH)₂·H₂O, in the guanorelated phosphate deposit from the Cioclovina Cave (Onac et al. 2005). However, it was indeed inaccurate when mentioning chlorellestadite within the unusual mineral assemblage (Onac et al. 2002; Onac and White 2003). A recent microanalytical, structural, and vibrational spectroscopy study confirmed the mineral earlier referred too as chlorellestadite is in fact hydroxylellestadite, Ca₅[(Si,P,S)O₄]₃(OH,F,Cl) but showing significant substitution of OH by Cl and F (Onac et al. 2006).

SYNTHESIS OF BERLINITE

Onac and White (2003) obtained the trigonal modification of AlPO₄ after heating variscite or an admixture of taranakite + brushite from 350 to 600 °C. However, Marincea and Dumitraş (2005) failed to synthesize berlinite under similar conditions; they observed only an orthorhombic modification of AlPO₄ with some other phosphates. Again, they concluded that some admixture of quartz (with the starting materials) led to misinterpretation of the X-ray powder patterns. In support of this contention it is worth mentioning that synthesis of berlinite under ambient pressure conditions is not new. Flörke (1967) investigated the crystallization and phase transitions of AlPO₄ up to 1400 °C. He obtained a trigonal phase (in analogy to the mineral berlinite) below ~700 °C; above that temperature, AlPO₄ crystallizes in

TABLE 3. Bond distances (Å) for berlinite

TABLE 5. Don't distances (A) for bennite								
Al-01 ^{0, ii}	1.7301(10)	P-01 ^{<i>i</i>, <i>i</i>v}	1.5270(9)					
AI-O2 ^{<i>i</i>, <i>v</i>}	1.7381(10)	P-O2 ^{0, iii}	1.5242(11)					
01 ⁰ -Al-O1 ⁱⁱ	111.72(8)	01 ^{<i>i</i>} -P-01 ^{<i>iv</i>}	108.82(8)					
01 ^o -Al-O2 ⁱ	107.29(3)	01 ⁱ -P-02 ^o	109.08(4)					
01º-Al-02 ^v	109.45(5)	01 ⁱ -P-02 ⁱⁱⁱ	110.83(6)					
O1 ⁱⁱ -Al-O2 ⁱ	109.45(5)	01 ^{iv} -P-O2 ^o	110.83(6)					
O1 ⁱⁱ -Al-O2 ^v	107.29(3)	01 ^{iv} -P-02 ⁱⁱⁱ	109.08(4)					
02 ^{<i>i</i>} -Al-O2 ^{<i>v</i>}	111.70(8)	O2º-P-O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	108.20(8)					
Notes: Symmetry	code: ⁰ x, y, z; ⁱ -x+1, -x+	-y, -z+4/3; ⁱⁱ x-y, -y,	-z+2/3; ⁱⁱⁱ x-y, -y,					
-z+5/3; iv -y+1, x-	+y, z+1/3; ^v -y+1, -x-y, z-	-2/3.						

analogy to tridymite, and cristobalite and an incommensurate phase as well. Flörke (1967) also discussed the influence of alkali elements, NH₄, and admixtures of SiO₂. Bass and Sclar (1979) heated synthetic reagent grade AlPO4 ·2H2O at 550 °C for about 20 h to a constant weight and obtained a trigonal modification of AlPO₄ equivalent to berlinite as proved by a 114.6 mm diameter Debye-Scherrer X-ray camera. Their reported unit-cell parameters [a = 4.947(1), c = 10.951(3) Å] agree with that obtained during the present work within the accuracy of the experiments. The distinct results of the tempering investigations might have been caused by different peak temperatures reached due to temperature gradients within the oven and/or inaccurate sensitivity of the temperature control. It seems that Onac and White (2003) tempered somewhat below the stability field of the orthorhombic modification of AlPO₄, whereas Marincea and Dumitras (2005) were above the stability field of trigonal AlPO₄. Consequently, the latter failed to obtain a berlinite-like phase. Also, the influence of some impurities might have supported stabilization of the distinct phases (cf. Flörke 1967).

CONCLUDING REMARKS

For a final decision about the occurrence of berlinite in the Cioclovina Cave, a single-crystal structure investigation was performed. This method allows specification of the crystal structure and it gives some hints of the chemical composition; both are required for the correct definition of a phase. In full agreement with Onac and White (2003), the single-crystal X-ray analysis proved the occurrence of berlinite, AlPO₄. The average <M-O> bond distances within the two crystallographically different MO₄ tetrahedra are 1.734 and 1.526 Å, respectively. The MO₄ tetrahedra exhibit only small distortions; as expected the AlO₄ tetrahedra are somewhat less regular [O-Al-O bond angles between 107.29(3) and $111.72(8)^{\circ}$ as compared to the PO₄ tetrahedra [O-P-O bond angles between 108.20(8) and 100.83(6)°]. The bridging angles Al-O1-P and Al-O2-P are 142.62(7)° and 142.26(7)°, respectively. The features of the crystal structure determined during this work agree with those found by Sowa et al. (1990) and Muraoka and Kihara (1997) for AlPO₄ samples synthesized under ambient conditions (cf. also Cora et al. 2003).

The presence of berlinite along with hydroxylellestadite is a strong indicator that the heavily compacted phosphate-bearing clay sediments underwent natural heating process, probably caused by in situ guano combustion. It is difficult to imagine any other process able to generate the high-temperature environment needed for the genesis of these two minerals within the cave.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Administration of the Gradistea Muncelului-Cioclovina Natural Park is thanked for granting permission to collect additional samples for the present study. We thank L.A. Groat for his comments and constructive review. The crystallographic files in cif format have been deposited with FIZ Karlsruhe as CSD number 418006. These data may be obtained by contacting FIZ Karlsruhe at +49.7247.808.666 (fax) or crysdata@fiz-karlsruhe.de. This is a contribution to the Romanian CNCSIS grant (no. 53/1696) to B.P.O.

REFERENCES CITED

- Bass, J.D. and Sclar, C.B. (1979) The stability of trolleite and the Al₂O₃-AlPO₄-H₂O phase diagram. American Mineralogist, 64, 1175–1183.
- Breban, R., Serban, M., Viehmann, I., and Baicoana, M. (2003) Istoria exploatarii de guano-fosfat si a descoperiri omului fosil din pesterile de la Ciclovina (Hunedoara), 138 p. Clubul de Speologie Proteus, Hunedoara.
- Cora, F., Alfredsson, M., Barker, C.M., Bell, R.G., Foster, M.D., Saadoune, I., Simperler, A., and Catlow, C.R.A. (2003) Modeling the framework stability and catalytic activity of pure and transition metal-doped zeotypes. Journal of Solid State Chemistry, 176(2), 496–529.
- Fischer, R.X. and Tillmanns, E. (1987) The equivalent isotropic displacement factor. Acta Crystallographica, C44, 775–776.
- Flörke, O.W. (1967) Kristallisation und Polymorphie von AIPO₄ und AIPO₄-SiO₂-Mischkristallen. Zeitschrift f
 ür Kristallographie, 125, 134–146.
- Graetsch, H.A. (2002) Monoclinic AlPO₄ tridymite at 473 and 463 K from X-ray powder data. Acta Crystallographica, C58, i18–i20.
- (2003) Thermal expansion and thermally induced variations of crystal structure of AlPO₄ low crystobalite. Neues Jahrbuch f
 ür Mineralogie Monatschefte, 7, 289–301.
- Marincea, S. and Dumitraş, D.-G. (2005) First reported sedimentary occurrence of berlinite (AlPO₄) in phosphate-bearing sediments from Cioclovina Cave, Romania—Comment. American Mineralogist, 90, 1203–1208.
- Martini, J.E.J. (1994a) Two new minerals originated from bat guano combustion in Arnhem Cave, Namibia. Bulletin of the South African Speleological Association, 33, 66–69.
- (1994b) The combustion of bat guano—a poorly known phenomenon. Bulletin of the South African Speleological Association, 33, 70–72.

— (1997) Pyrocoproite (Mg(K,Na)₂P₂O₇, monoclinic), a new mineral from Arnhem Cave (Namibia), derived from bat guano combustion. In P.-Y. Jeannin, Ed., Proceedings of the 12th International Congress of Speleology, La Chauxde-Fonds, Switzerland, Speleo Projects, Basel, 1, 223–225.

- Motchany, A.I. and Chavanski, P.P. (2001) Crystal growth of an α-quartz like piezoelectric material, berlinite. Annales de Chimie Science des Materiaux, 26, 199–208.
- Muraoka, Y. and Kihara, K. (1997) The temperature dependence of the crystal structure of berlinite, a quartz-type form of AlPO₄. Physics and Chemistry of Minerals, 24, 243–253.
- Nonius, B.V. (1999) "Collect." Data collection software. Brucker AXS.
- Oakley, K.P. (1954) Evidence of fire in South African cave deposits. Nature, 174, 261–262
- Onac, B.P. and White, W.B. (2003) First reported sedimentary occurrence of berlinite (AlPO₄) in phosphate-bearing sediments from Cioclovina Cave, Romania. American Mineralogist, 88, 1395–1397.
- Onac, B.P., Breban, R., Kearns, J., and Tamas, T. (2002) Unusual minerals related to phosphate deposits in Cioclovina Cave, Sureanu Mts. (Romania). Theoretical and Applied Karstology, 15, 27–34.
- Onac, B.P., Ettinger, K., Kearns, J., and Balasz, I.I. (2005) A modern, guano-related occurrence of foggite, CaAl(PO₄)(OH)₂·H₂O and churchite-(Y), YPO₄·2H₂O in Cioclovina Cave, Romania. Mineralogy and Petrology, 85, 291–302.
- Onac, B.P., Effenberger, H., Ettinger, K., and Cinta-Panzaru, S. (2006) Hydroxylellestadite from Cioclovina Cave (Romania): Microanalytical, structural, and vibrational spectroscopy data. American Mineralogist, 91, 1927–1931.
- Otwinowski, Z. and Minor, W. (1997) Processing of X-ray diffraction data collected in oscillation mode. In C.W.J. Carter and R.M. Sweet, Eds., Methods in Enzymology, 276, p. 307–326. Macromolecular Crystallography A, Academic Press, New York.
- Sheldrick, G.M. (1997) SHELXL-97, a program for crystal structure refinement. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sowa, H., Macavei, J., and Schulz, H. (1990) The crystal structure of berlinite AIPO₄ at high pressure. Zeistschrift f
 ür Kristallographie, 192, 119–136.
- Tucker, M.G., Keen, D.A., and Dove, M.T. (2001) A detailed structural characterization of quartz on heating through the alpha-beta transition. Mineralogical Magazine, 65, 489–507.
- Urbani, F. (1996) Venezuelan cave minerals, a review. Boletín de la Sociedad Venezolana de Espeleologia, 30, 1–13.
- Veksler, I.V., Thomas, R., and Wirth, R. (2003) Crystallization of AIPO₄-SiO₂ solid solutions from granitic melt and implications for P-rich melt inclusions in pegmatitic quartz. American Mineralogist, 88, 1724–1730.

MANUSCRIPT RECEIVED JANUARY 27, 2007 MANUSCRIPT ACCEPTED AUGUST 6, 2007 MANUSCRIPT HANDLED BY GEORGE LAGER