Zeitschrift für Kristallographie 187, 305-307 (1989) © by R. Oldenbourg Verlag, München 1989 - 0044-2968/89 \$3.00 + 0.00

# The structure of calomel, Hg<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, derived from neutron powder data

Nicholas J. Calos and Colin H. L. Kennard

Department of Chemistry University of Queensland, Brisbane, Q 4067, Australia

and R. Lindsay Davis

Australian Institute of Nuclear Science and Engineering, Locked Mail Bag 1, Menai, N.S.W. 2234, Australia

Received: July 25, 1988

#### Powder structure | Mercury(I) chloride

Abstract. Hg<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, M = 472.1 Daltons, tetragonal, *I4/mmm*, a = 4.4795(5) Å, c = 10.9054(9) Å, V = 218.83(8) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 2, D<sub>c</sub> = 7.162 g cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $\lambda$ (neutron) = 1.893 Å,  $R_B$  = 0.026,  $R_P$  = 0.043. The structure of Hg<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was refined using the Rietveld profile fitting technique on neutron powder data. Parameters obtained were comparable to single crystal X-ray results.

### Introduction

Havighurst (1926) reported the structures of  $Hg_2Cl_2$ ,  $Hg_2Br_2$ , and  $Hg_2I_2$ . Further work was carried out by Grdenic and Djordjevic (1956) on the fluoride. Dorm (1971), using single crystal methods, reinvestigated the structures of the fluoride, chloride and iodide to determine if there was a correlation between the Hg – Hg bond length and the electronegativity of the ligand. The neutron powder data were collected for calomel,  $Hg_2Cl_2$ , to verify its structure.

## **Experimental method**

A powder sample of  $Hg_2Cl_2$  (laboratory reagent grade) was packed in a 5 mm diameter V canister and mounted on the High Resolution Powder Diffractometer (HRPD) at the HIFAR facility at Lucas Heights, Australia.

	Havighurst (1926)	Dorm (1971)	This study
a (Å)	4.47	4.482(2)	4.4795(5)
$c(\hat{A})$	10.89	10.910(3)	10.9054(9)
Hg	0.110	0.1158(3)	0.119(1)
$\beta_{11}$		0.0270(3)	0.0001(7)
β33		0.0019(5)	0.071(5)
Cl <sub>z</sub>	0.360	0.3380(4)	0.3356(8)
$B_{\rm iso}$ (Å <sup>2</sup> )		3.6(7)	
$\beta_{11}$			0.056(7)
β.,			-0.004(1)
$R_B$		0.08	0.0255

Table 1. Crystal structure and parameters for  $Hg_2Cl_2$ . Space group: *I*4/*mmm*.

**Table 2.** Bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for Hg<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>.

	Dorm (1971)	This study	
Hg-Hg	2.526(6)	2.5955(2)	
Hg-Cl	2.43(4)	2.3622(2)	
Hg Cl	3.209(6)	3.2059(3)	
Cl–Hg Cl		81.12(5)	
Cl Hg Cl		88.63(5)	

The neutron diffraction pattern (Ge monochromated neutrons, 1.893 Å) was measured  $[2\theta, 7.097^{\circ} \text{ to } 126.396^{\circ}, \text{ in } 0.1^{\circ} \text{ steps, monitor count, } 5 \times 10^{4}$  neutrons]. The structure was refined using Hill's and Howard's (1985) version of the computer program DBW 3.2 by Wiles and Young (1981).

#### Discussion

The neutron powder pattern of  $Hg_2Cl_2$  has a noisy background in which there is, especially in the tail regions, an uncertainty with peak shapes.<sup>1</sup> Consequently, a general Voigtian peak shape function of Suortti et al. (1979) was used and this provided a good fit for the observed profile. The large number of observations (8 counters, 1000 observations per counter) offset the relatively weak intensities which were obtained because the neutron monitor counter was set to  $5 \times 10^4$ . According to Hill and Madsen (1986),  $R_p$  and  $R_{wp}$  are independent of scan point density but dependent on counting statistics. G of F (Goodness of Fit), and cell dimensions are unaffected. When errors are dependent on experimental conditions, e.g. preferred orientation, variation in incident beam intensity, crystal defects, and deficiencies in the structural model, or the diffraction peak model, the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Additional material to this paper can be ordered from the Fachinformationszentrum Energie-Physik-Mathematik, D-7514 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen 2, FRG. Please quote reference no. CSD 53503, the names of the authors and the title of the paper.



Fig. 1. Packing diagram for calomel.

Durbin-Watson d statistic will be significant. It will be less important as the counting statistics become less favourable.  $R_B = 0.0255$ , G of F (ideally 1.00) 15.48 (due to noisy background), 1.85 < d < 2.15 at 99.9% confidence level but d = 1.46, therefore negative serial correlation (Durbin and Watson, 1950),  $R_p = 0.0431$ ,  $R_{wp} = 0.0525$ , (Table 1). This indicated that the Rietveld technique could provide a structure solution from a powder diffraction profile on highly absorbing samples. Hg<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was refined using Havighurst's parameters. Data were corrected for absorption,  $\mu R = 0.70$ . The results agreed well with the X-ray structure (Dorm, 1971), (Figure 1, Table 2). The scattering lengths used for Hg and Cl were 12.660 and 9.579 fm<sup>-1</sup> respectively.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported financially by a grant from the Australian Institute of Nuclear Science and Engineering.

## References

- Dorm, E.: Intermetallic Distances in Mercury I Halides  $Hg_2F_2$ ,  $Hg_2Cl_2$  and  $Hg_2Br_2$ . Chem. Commun. (1971) 466-467.
- Durbin, J., Watson, G. S.: Testing for Serial Correlation in Least Squares Regression. I. Biometrika 37 (1950) 409-428.
- Grdenic, D., Djordjevic, C.: The Hg-Hg Bond Length in the Mercurous Ion. Part II. The Crystal Structure of Mercurous Fluoride. J. Chem. Soc. (1956) 1316-1319.
- Havighurst, R. J.: Parameters in Crystal Structure. The Mercurous Halides. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 48 (1926) 2113-2125.
- Hill, R. J., Howard, C. J.: A Computer Program for Rietveld Analysis of Fixed Wavelength X-Ray and Neutron Powder Diffraction Patterns. AAEC Report, Lucas Heights, Australia (1985).
- Hill, R. J., Madsen, I. C.: The Effect of Profile Step Width on the Determination of Crystal Structure Parameters and Estimated Standard Deviations by X-Ray Rietveld Analysis. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 19 (1986) 10-18.
- Suortti, P., Ahtee, M., Unonius, L.: Voigt Function Fit of X-Ray and Neutron Powder Diffraction Profiles. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 12 (1979) 365-369.
- Wiles, D. B., Young, R. A.: A new computer program for Rietveld analysis of X-ray powder diffraction patterns. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 14 (1981) 149-151.